

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 DYRELL W. JONES,

No. C-13-4350 EMC (pr)

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

11 COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA; *et al.*,

12 Defendants.
13 _____/

14 This *pro se* prisoner's civil rights action originally was filed by Dyrell Jones. Although only
15 he signed the original complaint, two additional inmates signed the first amended complaint. The
16 Court determined that each plaintiff had to proceed in a separate action for the reasons explained at
17 pages 2-3 of the Order Of Dismissal With Leave To Amend For Plaintiff Jones (Docket # 12.). The
18 Court dismissed the two additional plaintiffs from this action, without prejudice to each of them
19 filing a new action asserting his own claims. The Court granted leave to amend only for Mr. Jones,
20 and explained that he needed to link each of the dozens of defendants to his § 1983 claims. (Docket
21 # 12 at 3-4.) Jones did not file a second amended complaint and instead filed a "request to give and
22 receive clarification to the court." (Docket # 16.) The Court then issued an order, in which it further
23 explained the deficiencies in the first amended complaint, found that the first amended complaint did
24 not state a claim against any particular defendant, and extended to April 25, 2014 the deadline for
25 Mr. Jones to file a second amended complaint, cautioning that failure to file the second amended

26 ///


27 ///

28 ///

1 complaint would result in the dismissal of this action. (Docket # 18.) The extended deadline for Mr.
2 Jones to file a second amended complaint has long passed, and he has not filed a second amended
3 complaint. Accordingly, this action is dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may
4 be granted.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 Dated: September 4, 2014

9
10 
11 EDWARD M. CHEN
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28